

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/511,912	02/23/2000	Tatau Nishinaga	JEL 31015	4100
75	90 05/31/2002			
Stevens Davis Miller & Mosher LLP			EXAMINER	
1615 L Street NW Suite 850			ANDERSON, MATTHEW A	
Washington, Do	C 20036-4387		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		!	1765	14
			DATE MAILED: 05/31/2002	· /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			C3
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Acti n	09/511,912	NISHINAGA, TATAU	1
nance, neu n	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew A. Anderson	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence addr	ess
THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this app) a timely filed amendment w	plication. A proper reply hich places the applicat	to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from:	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set flater than SIX MONTHS from the mS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS Of date on which the petition under 37 of extension and the corresponding the shortened statutory period for received than three months after the	ailing date of the final rejection F THE FINAL REJECTION. OFR 1.136(a) and the approamount of the fee. The approaply originally set in the final (on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	s Brief must be filed within the R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismiss	e period set forth in all of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or searc	h (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims	S .
NOTE: The newly added claim requires further of	consideration and/or search.		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in	a separate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		onsidered but does NOT	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLE	Y to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · · —	• ===	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: none.			
Claim(s) objected to: none.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6 and 11-17</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 7-10.			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disa	approved by the Examir	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s)	
10. Other:		misa	
	SUPF	BENJAMIN L. UTECH RVISORY PATENT EXAMINER CHNOLOGY GENTER 1700	